

## ON THE TENSOR PRODUCT OF OPERATORS ON HILBERT SPACE

**Maysaa, M. Abdul-Munem and Buthainah, A. Ahmad**

University of Baghdad, College of Science, Department of Mathematics.

### Abstract:

In this paper we prove that there exist some properties of operators that are invariant under tensor product like posinormal, binormal, pseudo normal operators. But  $*$ -*paranormal* has not invariant under tensor product

### Introduction

Let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle, \rangle$  and let  $B(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ , given  $A_1, A_2 \in B(H)$ , the tensor product  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  on the Hilbert space  $H \otimes H$  has been considered variously by many of authors (see [1], [3], [12], [14]).

The operation of taking tensor product  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  preserves many properties of  $A_1$  and  $A_2 \in B(H)$  but by no means all of them. Thus, whereas the normaloid property is invariant under tensor products, the spectraloid property is not (see [15] p.623, [15] p.631) again, whereas  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is normal if and only if  $A_1$  and  $A_2 \in B(H)$  are [9] and is similarly for hyponormal, subnormal, normaloid,  $\theta$ -operator and  $U$ -operator [4], [5], [8], [9] it was shown in [15] that *paranormal* is not invariant under tensor product.

The operator  $A$  is said to be strongly stable if  $\|A^n x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x \in H$  [4].

In this paper we prove there exist another operators' properties that are invariant under tensor product like posinormal, pseudo normal, binormal,  $\theta$ -adjoint operators.

2- We recall  $A \in B(H)$  is called posinormal operator if there exists an operator  $P \in B(H)$  such that  $AA^* = A^*PA$ .  $P$  is called an interrupter of  $A$ . The set of all posinormal operators on  $H$  is denoted by  $P(H)$ .  $A$  is called coposinormal if  $A^*$  is posinormal operator. [11]

### Theorem [2-1]

Let  $A_i \in B(H_i)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n \neq 0$  on the Hilbert space  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n$ ,  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$  is a posinormal operator if and only if  $A_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , are all posinormal operators.

### Proof:

By induction, it suffices to show that if  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is posinormal if and only if both  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are.

Let  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \neq 0$  is posinormal operator, then:-

$$(A_1 \otimes A_2)(A_1 \otimes A_2)^* = (A_1 \otimes A_2)^*(P_1 \otimes P_2)(A_1 \otimes A_2)$$

$$A_1 A_1^* \otimes A_2 A_2^* - A_1^* P_1 A_1 \otimes A_2^* P_2 A_2 = 0$$

If  $A_1 A_1^*$  and  $A_1^* P_1 A_1$  are linearly independent then  $A_2 A_2^* = A_2^* P_2 A_2 = 0$  then  $A_2 = 0$  contradiction

Hence  $A_1 A_1^*$  and  $A_1^* P_1 A_1$  are linearly dependent then  $A_1 A_1^* = r A_1^* P_1 A_1$ .

If  $A_2 A_2^*$  and  $A_2^* P_2 A_2$  are linearly independent  $A_1 A_1^* = A_1^* P_1 A_1 = 0$  then  $A_1 = 0$  contradiction Hence  $A_2 A_2^*$  and  $A_2^* P_2 A_2$  are linearly dependent then  $A_2 A_2^* = r^{-1} A_2^* P_2 A_2$ .

Now to prove  $r = 1$

$$\|A_1\|^2 = \|A_1 A_1^*\| = |r| \|A_1^* P_1 A_1\| \leq |r| \|A_1^*\| \|A_1\|$$

$$= |r| \|A_1\| \|A_1\| = |r| \|A_1\|^2$$

hence  $1 \leq |r|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_2\|^2 &= \|A_2 A_2^*\| = |r^{-1}| \|A_2^* P_2 A_2\| \leq |r| \|A_2^*\| \|A_2\| \\ &= |r^{-1}| \|A_2\| \|A_2\| = |r^{-1}| \|A_2\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $1 \leq |r^{-1}|$  it implies that  $r = 1$

$\Leftrightarrow$  t if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are posinormal operators then  $A_1 A_1^* = A_1^* P_1 A_1$  and  $A_2 A_2^* = A_2^* P_2 A_2$

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1 \otimes A_2)(A_1 \otimes A_2)^* &= A_1 A_1^* \otimes A_2 A_2^* \\ &= A_1^* P_1 A_1 \otimes A_2^* P_2 A_2 \\ &= (A_1 \otimes A_2)^* (P_1 \otimes P_2) (A_1 \otimes A_2) \end{aligned}$$

then  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is posinormal.

An operator  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is said to be binormal operator if  $A^* A$  commutes with  $AA^*$ . I.e.  $[A^* A, AA^*] = 0$ . We denote the class of binormal operators by  $(BN)$ . [2], [6]

**Theorem [2-2]**

Let  $A_i \in B(H_i), i=1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n \neq 0$  on Hilbert space  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n$ ,  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$  is binormal operator if and only if each  $A_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , is binormal operator.

**Proof:**

By induction, it suffices to show that if  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is binormal if and only if both  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are.

$\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \neq 0$  is binormal operator, then

$$(A_1 \otimes A_2)^* (A_1 \otimes A_2)^2 (A_1 \otimes A_2) = (A_1 \otimes A_2) (A_1 \otimes A_2)^{*2} (A_1 \otimes A_2)$$

$$A_1^* A_1^2 A_1^* \otimes A_2^* A_2^2 A_2^* - A_1 A_1^{*2} A_1 \otimes A_2 A_2^{*2} A_2 = 0$$

If  $A_1^* A_1^2 A_1^*$  and  $A_1 A_1^{*2} A_1$  are linearly independent then  $A_2^* A_2^2 A_2^* = A_2 A_2^{*2} A_2 = 0$  then  $A_2 = 0$  contradiction. Hence  $A_1^* A_1^2 A_1^*$  and  $A_1 A_1^{*2} A_1$  are linearly dependent then  $A_1^* A_1^2 A_1^* = r A_1 A_1^{*2} A_1$

Similarly If  $A_2^* A_2^2 A_2^*$  and  $A_2 A_2^{*2} A_2$  are linearly independent then  $A_1^* A_1^2 A_1^* = A_1 A_1^{*2} A_1 = 0$  hence  $A_1 = 0$  contradiction. Hence  $A_2^* A_2^2 A_2^*$  and

$A_2 A_2^{*2} A_2$  are linearly dependent then  $A_2^* A_2^2 A_2^* = r^{-1} A_2 A_2^{*2} A_2$

Now it must be proving that  $r = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_1^* A_1^2 A_1^*\| &= \|r(A_1 A_1^{*2} A_1)\| \\ &= |r| \|A_1 A_1^{*2} A_1\| \\ &= |r| \|(A_1^* A_1^2 A_1^*)^*\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $|r| = 1$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_2^* A_2^2 A_2^*\| &= \|r^{-1}(A_2 A_2^{*2} A_2)\| \\ &= |r^{-1}| \|A_2 A_2^{*2} A_2\| \\ &= |r^{-1}| \|(A_2^* A_2^2 A_2^*)^*\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $|r^{-1}| = 1$

implies that  $r = 1$  hence  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are binormal operators.

$\Rightarrow$ ) on the other hand it is easy to see that if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are binormal operators then  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is binormal operator.

We recall  $A \in B(H)$ .  $A$  is called a pseudonormal operator if  $Ax = \lambda x$  for some  $x \in H, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $A^* x = \bar{\lambda} x$ , i.e. if  $x$  is an eigenvector for  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  then  $x$  is an eigenvector for  $A^*$  with eigenvalue  $\bar{\lambda}$ . [10]

It is clear that if  $\sigma_p(A) = \emptyset$  then  $A$  is a pseudonormal operator.

**Theorem [2-3]**

Let  $A_i \in B(H_i), i=1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n \neq 0$  on  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n$ ,  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$  is pseudonormal operator if and only if each  $A_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , is pseudonormal operator.

**Proof:**

By induction, it suffices to show that  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \neq 0$  is pseudo normal if and only if both  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are.

Let  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \neq 0$  be pseudo normal operator

Let  $A_1 x = \lambda x$  and  $A_2 y = \mu y$

$$\text{If } (A_1 \otimes A_2)(x \otimes y) = \lambda \mu (x \otimes y) \dots\dots\dots(2-1)$$

$$\text{Then } (A_1 \otimes A_2)^*(x \otimes y) = \overline{\lambda \mu} (x \otimes y) \dots(2-2)$$

In (2-1) if  $A_1x, \lambda x$  are linearly independent then  $A_2y = \mu y = 0$  contradiction hence  $A_1x, \lambda x$  are linearly dependent  $A_1x = t\lambda x$  but  $A_1x = \lambda x$  then  $t = 1$

In (2-1)  $A_2y, \mu y$  are linearly independent then  $A_1x = \lambda x = 0$  contradiction Hence  $A_2y, \mu y$  are linearly independent then  $A_2y = t^{-1}\mu y$  but  $A_2y = \mu y$  then  $t^{-1} = 1$

In (2-2)if  $A_1^*x$  and  $\overline{\lambda x}$  are linearly independent then  $A_2^*y = \overline{\mu y} = 0$  then  $\mu = 0$  contradiction.

Hence  $A_1^*x$  and  $\overline{\lambda x}$  are linearly dependent  $A_1^*x = r\overline{\lambda x}$

Similarly

If  $A_2^*y$  and  $\overline{\mu y}$  are linearly independent then  $A_1^*x = \overline{\lambda x} = 0$  then  $\lambda = 0$  contradiction.

Hence  $A_2^*y$  and  $\overline{\mu y}$  are linearly dependent  $A_2^*y = r^{-1}\overline{\mu y}$

It is clear  $t = r = 1$

$\Rightarrow$ ) It is clear that If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are pseudo normal operators then  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is pseudo normal operator.

3-An operator  $A \in B(H)$  is called an  $*$ -*paranormal* operator, if  $\|A^*x\|^2 \leq \|A^2x\|^2$  for every unit vector  $x \in H$ . [13]

**Proposition [3-1]**

If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are  $*$ -*paranormal* operators then so is  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ .

**Proof:**

Since  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are  $*$ -*paranormal* operators, then

$$\|A_1^2x\| \geq \|A_1^*x\|^2 \text{ and } \|A_2^2y\| \geq \|A_2^*y\|^2$$

$$\|A_1^2x\| \|A_2^2y\| \geq \|A_1^*x\|^2 \|A_2^*y\|^2$$

$$\|(A_1 \otimes A_2)^2(x \otimes y)\| \geq \|(A_1 \otimes A_2)^*(x \otimes y)\|^2$$

Hence  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is  $*$ -*paranormal*.

**Remark [3-2]**

If  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is  $*$ -*paranormal* operator, then it may not be true that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are  $*$ -*paranormal* operators, for example:-

Let  $H = \ell_2(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $A: H \rightarrow H$  define as follows:-  $A_1(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, 0, x_2, 0, 0, \dots)$

It is easily checked that

$$A_1^*(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_3, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Let  $x = (x_1, 0, x_3, x_4, \dots)$  then  $A_1x = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$

$$A_1^2x = 0 \text{ and } \|A_1^2x\| = 0$$

$$\text{Also } A_1^*x = (0, x_3, 0, \dots) \text{ and } \|A_1^*x\| = |x_3|^2$$

It is clear that  $A_1$  is not  $*$ -*paranormal* operator

Next, Let  $A_2$  be the unilateral shift operator defined on the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\mathcal{C})$  by

$$A_2(y_1, y_2, \dots) = (0, y_1, y_2, \dots). \text{ Recall that}$$

$$A_2^*(y_1, y_2, \dots) = (y_2, y_3, \dots). \text{ Let}$$

$y = (y_1, 0, 0, \dots)$  one can easily check that

$$\|A_2^*y\|^2 = \|A_2^*(y_1, 0, \dots)\|^2 = |0|^2 + |0|^2 + \dots = 0$$

And

$$\|A_2^2y\| = \|A_2(A_2y)\| = \|A_2(0, y_1, 0, \dots)\|$$

$$= \sqrt{|0|^2 + |y_1|^2 + |0|^2} \dots$$

$$= |y_1| = \|y\|.$$

Suppose  $y$  is unit vector, i.e.  $\|y\| = 1$ ,

Thus  $A_2$  is  $*$ -*paranormal* operator

Moreover

$$\|A_1^2 \otimes A_2^2(x \otimes y)\| = \|A_1^2x\| \|A_2^2y\| = 0 \cdot |x_1|^2 = 0$$

and

$$\|A_1^* \otimes A_2^*(x \otimes y)\| = \|A_1^*x\| \|A_2^*y\| = |x_3|^2 \cdot 0 = 0$$

Hence  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is  $*$ -*paranormal* operator.

Recall that an operator  $A \in B(H)$  is  $\theta$ -*adjoint* if  $A^* = e^{i\theta} A$  where  $\theta \in \mathfrak{R}$  [7]

**Theorem [3-3]**

Let  $A_i \in B(H_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n \neq 0$  on  $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \dots \otimes H_n$  if each  $A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , is  $\theta$ -*adjoint* operator then  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$  is  $\theta$ -*adjoint* operator.

**Proof:**

By induction, it suffices to show that  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \neq 0$  is  $\theta$ -adjoint if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are  $\theta$ -adjoint operators.

If  $A_1^* = e^{i\theta_1} A_1$  and  $A_2^* = e^{i\theta_2} A_2$  then  $A_1^* \otimes A_2^* = e^{i\theta_1} A_1 \otimes e^{i\theta_2} A_2$  hence  $(A_1 \otimes A_2)$  is  $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ -adjoint operator.

**References**

- 1- Brown, A. and Pearcy, "spectra of tensor product of operators", Proc.Math.Soc.17 (1966) (162-166)
- 2- Campbell, S."Linear operators for which  $T^*T$  and  $TT^*$  commute "Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. V.34 NO.1 (1972) 177-180.
- 3- Douglas, R."Banach Algebra Techniques in operator theory" New York and London (1972).
- 4 - Duggal, B.P. "Tensor Product of operators strong stability and P-hyponormality" Glasgow Math. J.42 (2000) 371-381.
- 5- Duggal, B. P.and Jeon, H. "On Operators with an Absolute Value condition" J.Korean Math. Soc. [To appear]
- 6- Ehsan, R. "Some Generalization of Normal Operators" M.Sc. Thesis, college of Science, University of Baghdad, 2000.
- 7-Hamed, N."Jordan\*- derivations on  $B(H)$  "PhD Thesis, college of Science, University of Baghdad, 2002.
- 8-Istrătescu, V. "Introduction to linear operator theory" Marcel Dekker, ING. New York and Basel 1981.
- 9-Jinchuan, H. "On the tensor products of operators "Act. Math.Sin. New series V.9, NO.2 (1993) 195-202.
- 10-Naji, S. "Some generalized of hyponormal operators "M.Sc. thesis, college of Science, University of Baghdad, 2000.
- 11-Rahly, J.H.C."Posinormal operators."J. Math. Soc.Japan, 46 (1994) 587-605.
- 12-Robert, I. "Tensor Product of operators "University of British Columbia [2004]

<http://math.forum.org/kb/plain>

[text.jspa?](http://math.forum.org/kb/plain) Message ID=174789 23-4-1999.

- 13-Ryoo, C.S.and LeeS.H."Some properties of certain non hyponormal operator, Bull. Korean. Math.Soc. 31 (1994) 133-141.
- 14-Teishirosaito,"Numerical ranges of tensor products of operators "Tokoku Math. Jou. V.19, NO.1 (1967) 98-100.
- 15-"Lectures on operator algebras "Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1970-1971.

**المخلص**

نبرهن في هذا البحث عن وجود بعض المؤثرات التي تحافظ على خواصها في الجداء التنسوري مثل المؤثر الموجب السوي والمؤثر ثنائي السوي والمؤثر الشبه سوي والمؤثر فوق السوي من النمط \*