

## When Compact sets are $\alpha$ -Closed

Haider Jebur Ali<sup>1</sup> and Marwa Makki Dahham<sup>2</sup>

Al-Mustansiriyah University, College of Science, Department of Mathematics, Baghdad, Iraq  
 haiderali89@yahoo.com, marwamakki111@gmail.com

### Abstract

This paper is devoted to introduce new concepts so called  $K(\alpha c)$ -space several various theorems about these concepts are provided In addition, further properties are studied such as the relationships between those concepts and other types of  $K(\alpha c)$ -spaces are investigated.

[DOI: [10.22401/ANJS.00.2.14](https://doi.org/10.22401/ANJS.00.2.14)]

**Keywords:**  $\alpha$ -open set,  $\alpha$ -compact,  $\alpha$ -Lindelof.

### 1. Introduction

It is known that there is no relationship between compact sets and closed sets, so this motivates the author [1] to introduce a concept of  $Kc$ -spaces; these are the spaces in which every compact subset is closed, and we know that there is no relationship between compact sets and semi closed sets so in 2015 the researcher [6] studied another type of  $Kc$ -space namely  $K(sc)$ -space which is every compact are semi-closed sets.

And the researcher [6] has been studied by another type of  $Kc$ -space namely  $K(\theta c)$ -space which is every compact space are  $\theta$ -closed sets and this space ( $K(\theta c)$ -space) will be weaker than  $Kc$ -space.

Also the same researcher [6] introduced another type of  $Kc$ -space namely  $\theta K(\theta c)$ -space which is weaker than  $Kc$ -space.

After studying all the above of these space, we studied the relationship compact and  $\alpha$ -closed and we found that there is no relationship between compact and  $\alpha$ -closed, as we explained the examples during the course of the research, so we studied another type of  $Kc$ -space namely  $K(\alpha c)$ -space which is every compact sets are  $\alpha$ -closed. The aim of this paper is to continuous study  $Kc$ -spaces.

The basic definitions that needed in this work are recalled. In this work, a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\alpha$ -open if  $A \subset \text{int cl int}(A)$  and  $\alpha$ -closed set if  $\text{cl int cl}(A) \subset A$  [2], as the following example  $(R, \tau_{\text{Excluded}})$  be an  $\alpha$ -open, where  $\tau_{\text{Excluded}} = \{U \subseteq X, x_0 \notin U, \text{ for some } x_0 \in X\} \cup \{X\}$ . Every open is  $\alpha$ -open, but the

converse may be not true [3], as the following example shows:

$X = \{1,2,3\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}\}$  let  $A = \{1,2\}$  therefore  $A^0 = \{1,2\}^0 = \{1\}$  and hence  $\overline{A^0} = \overline{\{1\}} = X \Rightarrow \overline{A^0}^0 = X^0 = X$

This implies to  $\{1,2\} \subseteq \overline{\{1,2\}^0}$  is  $\alpha$ -open but  $\{1,2\}$  is not open, a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is an open if and only if there exists an open set  $U$ , such that  $U \subset A \subset \text{int cl}(A)$  [4], let  $U$  be an open set a space  $X$ , then every subset  $B$  of  $X$ ,  $U \cap \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{U \cap B}$  [5].

### 2. On $k(\alpha C)$ -Space

Since we provided the fact that there is no relationship between compact and closed ( $\alpha$ -closed) sets, as in the following example shows:

- (1) In  $(R, \tau_{\text{ind}})$  the subset  $Q$  in  $R$  is compact, but not  $\alpha$ -closed, in the fact then on empty subsets of  $R$  is compact, but not  $\alpha$ -closed.
- (2)- In  $(R, \tau_{\text{coc}})$  the set  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -closed, which is not compact.
- (3)- In  $(R, \tau_D)$  the set  $R$  is closed ( $\alpha$ -closed), but not compact.

Now we introduce the following concept:

**Definition (1):** A space  $X$  is called  $k(\alpha c)$ -space if every compact subset of  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Example (1):**  $(X, \tau_D)$ , where  $\tau_D$  be an discrete space is  $k(\alpha c)$ -space

**Definition:** A nonempty set  $X$  with a topological space  $\tau$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -compact, if every cover of  $X$  with  $\alpha$ -open sets has finite subcover.

**Definition:** A nonempty set  $X$  with a topological space  $\tau$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -Lindelof, if every cover of  $X$  with  $\alpha$ -open sets has a countable subcover.

**Example:**  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{Excluded})$  is  $\alpha$ -compact and  $\alpha$ -Lindelof.

**Remark:**

(i) Every  $\alpha$ -compact ( $\alpha$ -Lindelof) is compact (Lindelof).

(ii) Every  $\alpha$ -compact is  $\alpha$ -Lindelof, but the convers may be not true.

**Examples (a):** In  $(\mathbb{Z}, \tau_D)$  the set  $Z$  is  $\alpha$ -Lindelof (Lindelof), but not  $\alpha$ -compact (compact).

In fact every infinite countable set with discrete topology satisfy the above results.

(b)  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{coc})$  is  $\alpha$ -Lindelof, but not  $\alpha$ -compact

**Proposition (1):** Every  $\alpha$ -closed set in  $\alpha$ -compact ( $\alpha$ -Lindelof) is  $\alpha$ -compact ( $\alpha$ -Lindelof).

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $\alpha$ -compact space and  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ , to prove that  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -compact, let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be an  $\alpha$ -open cover for  $Y$  (means that)  $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ , where  $U_\alpha$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X \forall \alpha \in \Lambda$ , since  $X = Y \cup Y^c \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha \cup Y^c$ , also  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $X \Rightarrow Y^c$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ , so  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \{U_\alpha\} \cup Y^c$  is  $\alpha$ -open cover for  $X$ , which is  $\alpha$ -compact, then there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \cup Y^c \Rightarrow Y \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \Rightarrow \{U_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^n$  is a finite subcover from  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of  $Y$ , therefore  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -compact. ■

**Definition:** A space  $X$  is said to  $\alpha T_1$ -space if every two distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , there exists two  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U, V$ , such that  $x \in U$ , but  $x \notin V$  and  $y \in V$  but  $y \notin U$ .

**Example:**  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{cof})$  is  $\alpha T_1$ -space.

**Definition:** A space  $X$  is said to  $\alpha T_2$ -space if every two distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , there exists two  $\alpha$ -open sets  $U, V$ , such that  $x \in U$ , but  $x \notin V$  and  $y \in V$  but  $y \notin U$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Example:**  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_D)$  is  $\alpha T_2$ -space.

**Theorem:** Every  $\alpha$ -compact set of  $\alpha T_2$ -space is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be an  $\alpha$ -compact set in a topological space  $X$ , to prove that  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed, let  $p \in A^c$  since  $X$   $\alpha T_2$ -space, so  $\forall q \in A$ , there exists  $U, V \in \tau^\alpha$  (the set of all

$\alpha$ -open sets) with  $q \in U, p \in V$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , now the collection  $\{U(q) : q \in A\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $A$ , which is  $\alpha$ -compact, then there exist finite subcover of  $A$ , that is  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U(q_i)$ , let  $V^* = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{q_i}(p) \Rightarrow U^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U(q_i)$  then  $V^*$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set containing  $p$  (finite intersection of  $\alpha$ -open sets), we claim that  $U^* \cap V^* = \emptyset$ , let  $x \in U^*$  therefore  $x \in U(q_i)$  and suppose  $x \notin V^*$  and hence  $A \cap V^* = \emptyset, V^* \subseteq A^c \Rightarrow A^c$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ . This implies to  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed. ■

Now we introduce weak form of  $K(\alpha c)$ -space, which was previously submitted.

**Definition:** A space  $X$  is called  $\alpha K(\alpha c)$ -space if every  $\alpha$ -compact subset of  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Example:**

(a)  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{coc})$  is  $\alpha K(\alpha c)$ -space,  $K(\alpha c)$ -space and  $Kc$ -space, but not an  $Lc$ -space

(b)  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_D)$  is  $\alpha K(\alpha c)$ -space and satisfy all atoure concepts in above.

**Proposition:** A subset  $M$  of a topological space  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -closed if and only if there exists an closed set  $F$ , such that  $\overline{F^0} \subseteq M \subseteq F$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -closed to prove that  $\overline{F^0} \subseteq M \subseteq F$ , where  $F$  is closed. Since:

$$cl \ int \ cl \ (M) \subset M \quad \dots(1)$$

and

$$M \subset cl(M) \quad \dots(2)$$

then by (1) and (2), we get that:

$$cl \ int \ cl \ (M) \subset M \subset cl(M), \text{ Put } cl(M) = F \text{ so } cl \ int \ (F) \subset M \subset F$$

Conversely: now to prove that  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -closed, whenever there exists an closed sets  $F$  such that  $\overline{F^0} \subseteq M \subseteq F$ , since  $cl \ int \ (F) \subset M \subset F$ , therefore  $cl \ cl \ int \ (F) \subset cl(M) \subset cl(F) = F$  And hence  $cl \ int \ (F) \subset cl(M) \subset F$

$$\Rightarrow int \ cl \ int \ (F) \subset int \ cl(M) \subset int \ (F)$$

$$\Rightarrow cl \ int \ cl \ int \ (F) \subset cl \ int \ cl(M) \subset cl \ int \ (F) \text{ (Since } cl \ int \ (F) \subseteq M \subseteq F)$$

$$\text{Therefore } cl \ int \ cl \ (M) \subset M$$

This implies to  $M$  is  $\alpha$ -closed. ■

**Lemma:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \tau')$  be two topological spaces, let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a homeomorphism from a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$  if  $F$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ , then  $f(F)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $Y$ .

**Proof:** Let  $F$  be an  $\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ , that is;  $\overline{M^0} \subseteq F \subseteq M$ , to prove that  $f(F)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $Y$

Since  $\overline{M^0} \subseteq F \subseteq M$ , therefore  $\overline{f(M)^0} = f(\overline{M^0}) \subseteq f(F) \subseteq f(M)$ , " $\overline{f(M)^0} = f(\overline{M^0})$ ", since  $M$  is closed set in  $X$  and  $f$  is closed function (since  $f$  is homeomorphism), so  $f(M)$  is closed set in  $Y$ , since  $M^0 \subseteq M$ , so  $f(M^0) \subseteq f(M)$ . But  $(f(M))^0 = f(M^0) \subseteq f(M)$  ( $f$  is a homeomorphism). Also:

$$\overline{(f(M))^0} = \overline{f(M^0)} \quad \dots(2)$$

$$f(M^0) = \overline{f(M^0)} \quad \dots(3)$$

Whenever  $f$  is home &  $M^0$  is a subset of  $X$ , then by (2) and (3), we get that:

$$\overline{(f(M))^0} = \overline{f(M^0)} \quad \dots(4)$$

And by (1) and (4) we get that  $\overline{(f(M))^0} \subseteq f(F) \subseteq f(M)$ , so  $f(F)$  is an  $\alpha$ -closed in  $Y$ .

**Definition [5]:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a function of a space, then:

(i)  $f$  is called a continuous function if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an open set in  $X$  for every open set  $A$  in  $Y$ .

(ii)  $f$  is called an open function if  $f(A)$  is an open set in  $Y$ , for every open set  $A$  in  $X$ .

**Definition [4]:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function of a space, then  $f$  is called  $\alpha$ -continuous function, if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set in  $X$  for every open set  $A$  in  $Y$ .

**Definitions:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function of a space, then:

(i)  $f$  is called an  $\alpha$ -open function if  $f(A)$  is an  $\alpha$ -open in  $Y$ , for every open set  $A$  in  $X$ .

(ii)  $f$  is called an  $\alpha^*$ -open function, if  $f(A)$  is an open in  $Y$ , for every  $\alpha$ -open set  $A$  in  $X$ .

(iii)  $f$  is called an  $\alpha^{**}$ -open function, if  $f(A)$  is an  $\alpha$ -open in  $Y$ , for every  $\alpha$ -open set  $A$  in  $X$ .

(iv)  $f$  is called an  $\alpha$ -closed function if  $f(A)$  is an  $\alpha$ -closed in  $Y$ , for every closed set  $A$  in  $X$ .

(v)  $f$  is called an  $\alpha^*$ -closed function, if  $f(A)$  is an closed in  $Y$ , for every  $\alpha$ -closed set  $A$  in  $X$ .

(vi)  $f$  is called an  $\alpha^{**}$ -closed function, if  $f(A)$  is an  $\alpha$ -closed in  $Y$ , for every  $\alpha$ -closed set  $A$  in  $X$ .

(vii)  $f$  is called  $\alpha^*$ -continuous function if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an open set in  $X$  for every  $\alpha$ -open set  $A$  in  $Y$ .

(viii)  $f$  is  $\alpha^{**}$ -continuous function if  $f^{-1}(A)$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set in  $X$  for every  $\alpha$ -open set  $A$  in  $Y$ .

**Definition:** A space  $X$  is called  $(\alpha K)c$ -space, if every  $\alpha$ -compactsub set of  $X$  is closed.

**Example:** the discrete space  $(X, \tau_D)$  satisfy the above definition.

**Theorem:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be bijective  $\alpha^*$ -open and closed function, then  $Y$  is  $(\alpha K)c$ -space, whenever  $X$  is  $(\alpha K)c$ -space.

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be  $\alpha$ -compact in  $Y$ , to prove that  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -compact in  $X$ , let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be an  $\alpha$ -open cover to  $f^{-1}(A)$  (means that  $f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (U_\alpha)$ )

Therefore  $f f^{-1}(A) = f(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(U_\alpha)$  and hence  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(U_\alpha)$ , since  $f(U_\alpha)$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $Y \forall \alpha \in \Lambda$  therefore but  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -compact, so:

$A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(U_{\alpha_i}) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(U_{\alpha_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$  (since  $f$  is one to one)  $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ , so  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -compact in  $X$ , which is  $(\alpha K)c$ -space, so  $f^{-1}(A)$  is closed  $\Rightarrow f(f^{-1}(A)) = A$  is closed in  $Y$ , which implies  $X$  is  $(\alpha K)c$ -space. ■

**Proposition:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be bijective open and  $\alpha^*$ -closed ( $\alpha^{**}$ -closed) function, then  $Y$  is  $K(\alpha c)$ -space, whenever  $X$  is  $K(\alpha c)$ -space.

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be compact in  $Y$ , to prove that  $f^{-1}(A)$  is compact in  $X$ , let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be an open cover to  $f^{-1}(A)$  (means that)  $f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (U_\alpha) \Rightarrow f f^{-1}(A) = f(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(U_\alpha) \Rightarrow A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(U_\alpha)$ , since  $f(U_\alpha)$  is open in  $Y \forall \alpha \in \Lambda \Rightarrow$  but  $A$  is compact, so  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(U_{\alpha_i}) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(U_{\alpha_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$  (since  $f$  is one to one)  $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ , so  $f^{-1}(A)$  is compact in  $X$ , which is  $K(\alpha c)$ -space, so  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed

$\Rightarrow f(f^{-1}(A)) = A$  is closed in  $Y$ , so  $A$  is closed, which implies it is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $Y$ , which  $A$  is compact

Hence it is  $K(\alpha c)$ -space. ■

**Proposition:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be bijective  $\alpha^*$ -open and  $\alpha^{**}$ -closed function, then  $Y$  is  $\alpha K(\alpha c)$ -space, whenever  $X$  is  $\alpha K(\alpha c)$ -space.

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be  $\alpha$ -compact in  $Y$ , to prove that  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -compact in  $X$ , let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be an  $\alpha$ -open cover to  $f^{-1}(A)$  (means that)  $f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (U_\alpha) \Rightarrow f f^{-1}(A) = f(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(U_\alpha) \Rightarrow A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f(U_\alpha)$ , since  $f(U_\alpha)$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $Y \forall \alpha \in \Lambda \Rightarrow$  but  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -compact, so  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(U_{\alpha_i}) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(U_{\alpha_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$  (since  $f$  is one to one)  $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$ , so  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -compact in  $X$ , which is  $\alpha K(\alpha c)$ -space, so  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed, so  $f(f^{-1}(A)) = A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $Y$ , which  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -compact, then  $X$  is  $\alpha K(\alpha c)$ -space. ■

**Proposition:** The  $\alpha^*$ -continuous image and surjective of  $\alpha$ -compact ( $\alpha$ -Lindelof) set is  $\alpha$ -compact ( $\alpha$ -Lindelof).

**Proof:** Let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be an  $\alpha$ -open cover for  $Y$  (means that)  $Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ , but  $f$  is continuous, so  $f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X \forall \alpha \in \Lambda$   
 $f^{-1}(Y) = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ , also  $f^{-1}(Y) = X \Rightarrow X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(U_\alpha) \Rightarrow \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is an  $\alpha$ -open cover for  $X$ , which is  $\alpha$ -compact  $\Rightarrow$  there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ , such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i})$  therefore  $f(X) = f(f^{-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(U_{\alpha_i})$  (since  $f$  is onto) and hence  $f(X) = Y \Rightarrow Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$  which implies  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -compact. ■

**Proposition:** Every finite space is  $\alpha$ -compact.

**Proof:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a finite topological space say  $X = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ , to prove that  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -compact, let  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  be an  $\alpha$ -open cover to  $X$  (means that)  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ , since  $a_i \in X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$ ,  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{a_i\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} \rightarrow X$  is  $\alpha$ -compact. ■

**Proposition:** The property of being a  $K(\alpha c)$ -space is a topological property.

**Proof:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a homeomorphism function from an  $K(\alpha c)$ -space  $X$  in to a space  $Y$  to show that  $Y$  is also  $K(\alpha c)$ -space Let  $A$  be a compact subset of  $Y$ , but  $f^{-1}(A)$  is also compact of  $X$ , which is

$K(\alpha c)$ -space, then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\alpha$ -closed in  $X$ , since  $f$  is onto, then  $A = f(f^{-1}(A))$ , then  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -closed of  $Y$ , therefore  $Y$  is an  $K(\alpha c)$ -space.

### References:

- [1] Wilansky A., "Between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ ", Amer. Math. Monthly, 74, (1967) 261 – 266.
- [2] Njastad O., "On some classes of nearly open sets", Pacific J. Math., 15 (1965), pp.(961-970)
- [3] Gauld D., Greenwood S. and Reilly I., "On Variation of continuity", Mathematics subjects classification, 54, Alo, (1991).
- [4] Hakeem Ahmed Othman, "On New Types of  $\alpha$ -continuous mappings, B.Sc. (1997).
- [5] Bourbaki, N., "elements of mathematics; "General Topology". Chapter 1-4, Springer-Verlog, Berlin, Heidelberg, New-York, London, Praise, Tokyo, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (1989).
- [6] Abu-Ragheef H. A., "Some Types of  $Kc$ -spaces and  $Lc$ -spaces", Al-Mustansiriyah university, (2015).