

Generalized Jordan Triple (σ, τ) -Higher Homomorphisms on Prime Rings

Neshtiman N. Sulaiman^{1,*} and Salah M. Salih²

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Salahaddin University, Erbil, Kurdistan Region, Iraq

²Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Al-Mustansirya University, Baghdad, Iraq

Articles Information	Abstract
<p>Received: 23.04.2020 Accepted: 23.08.2020 Published: 26.09.2020</p> <hr/> <p>Keywords: Generalized Jordan higher homomorphism Prime ring Jordan homomorphism Homomorphisms Higher homomorphisms</p>	<p>Herstein proved that any Jordan homomorphism onto a prime ring of characteristic of R different from 2 and 3 is either a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism. In this paper the concept of Generalized Jordan triple (σ, τ)-Higher Homomorphisms (GJT(σ, τ)-HH) where σ and τ are two commuting homomorphisms are introduced as follows:</p> <p>A family of additive mappings $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R into R' is said to be a Generalized Triple (σ, τ)-Higher Homomorphism (GT(σ, τ)-HH) if there exist a triple (σ, τ)-higher homomorphism (T(σ, τ)-HH) $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b \in R$, we have:</p> $f_n(aba) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a))$ <p>and θ is said to be the relating triple (σ, τ)-HH.</p> <p>We will primarily extend the result of Herstein on it. It should be proved that every GJT(σ, τ)-HH of ring R into prime ring R' is either GT(σ, τ)-HH or triple (σ, τ) higher anti-homomorphism (T(σ, τ)-HAH).</p>

DOI: 10.22401/ANJS.23.3.10

*Corresponding author: neshtiman.suliman@su.edu.krd

1. Introduction

Jordan homomorphisms of associative rings and algebras play a significant role in various mathematical areas, in particular of ring theory. Throughout this paper R will denote an associative ring with center $Z(R)$. A ring R is said to be a ring with an involution if there exists a mapping $*$: $R \rightarrow R$ such that for every $a, b \in R$, $a^{**} = a$, $(a + b)^* = b^* + a^*$ and $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$. R is called prime if $aRb = (0)$ implies $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ with $a, b \in R$, and it is called semiprime if $aRa = (0)$ with $a \in R$ implies $a = 0$. A ring R is said to be 2-torsion free, if $2a = 0$, with $a \in R$, implies $a = 0$.

An additive mapping θ of a ring R into a 2-torsion free ring R' is said to be a homomorphism (respectively anti-homomorphism) if $\theta(ab) = \theta(a)\theta(b)$ (respectively $\theta(ab) = \theta(b)\theta(a)$), therefore θ is said to be a Jordan homomorphism if $\theta(ab + ba) = \theta(a)\theta(b) + \theta(b)\theta(a)$ and is called a JTH if $\theta(aba) = \theta(a)\theta(b)\theta(a)$ for all $a, b \in R$ (See [1,2,3,4]). It is clear that every homomorphism (anti-homomorphism) is a Jordan homomorphism and every Jordan homomorphism is a JTH but the converse in general is not true (see example 2, in [4]).

In the recent paper [2] Herstein had proved, Jordan homomorphism onto a prime ring of characteristic of R different from 2 and 3 is either a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism. In [4] Jacobson & Rickart, proved that any Jordan homomorphism of an arbitrary ring into an integral domain is either a homomorphism or an anti-

homomorphism. In [1] Bresar studied a JTH of a ring R onto 2 torsion free semiprime ring R' , he had proved that every JTH of a ring onto a prime ring of characteristic not 2 is either a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism.

Generalized homomorphisms have been primarily defined by Majeed & Shaheen [5] as follows: An additive mapping F of a ring R into ring R' is said to be a generalized homomorphism (resp. GJH) if there exists a homomorphism (Jordan homomorphism) θ , such that:

$$F(ab) = F(a)\theta(b)(F(ab + ba) = F(a)\theta(b) + F(b)\theta(a)), \text{ for all } a, b \in R$$

where θ is called the relating homomorphism (resp. Jordan homomorphism), they have proved that every GJH onto the prime ring of characteristic not 2 is either a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism.

In [6] Faraj had introduced the concept generalized Higher Homomorphism (GHH) as follows; A family of additive mappings $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R into R' is called a GHH (respectively GJHH) if there exists a HH $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $f_n(ab) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a) \phi_i(b)$ (respectively $f_n(ab + ba) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a) \phi_i(b) + f_i(b) \phi_i(a)$), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a, b \in R$, where θ is said to be the relating HH (respectively JHH). If R' is 2-torsion-free, then the definition of GJHH is equivalent to the following; $f_n(a^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a) \phi_i(a)$, he had extended the result of Herstein and proved that every GJHH on to prime ring of characteristic not 2 is either a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism.

Following [7] Salih & Jarallah, they have introduced the concept of GJ(σ, τ)-HH of R into R' as follows: A family of additive mappings $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R into R' and σ, τ are two homomorphisms of R such that $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$, is said to be a GJ(σ, τ)-HH if there exist a Jordan (σ, τ)-HH $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ from R into R' , such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b \in R$,

$$f_n(ab + ba) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)\phi_i(\tau^i(b))) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(b)\phi_i(\tau^i(a)))$$

where θ is said to be the relating Jordan (σ, τ)-HH.

In the research [8] the authors have presented the concept of GTHH (resp. GJTHH) as follows: A family of additive mappings $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R into R' is said to be a GTHH (respectively GJHH) if there exist a family of additive mappings $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R into R' , such that $f_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)\phi_i(b)\phi_i(c)$ (respectively $f_n(aba) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)\phi_i(b)\phi_i(a)$), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b \in R$. They have given some results about them.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the above concepts to GT (σ, τ)-HH and GJT (σ, τ)-HAH. We will study the relation between these definitions and prove some results about it, depending on the results in [9].

2. Preliminaries

First, we will give some definitions and Lemmas.

Definition 2.1 [9]. A family of additive mappings $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R into R' is said to be a triple (σ, τ)-HH if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b \in R$, we have:

$$\phi_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c))$$

and θ is said to be a Jordan triple (σ, τ)-HH if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b \in R$, we have:

$$\phi_n(aba) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

Lemma 2.2 [9, Lemma 3.1]. If $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a JT (σ, τ)-HH of R into R' , then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b, c, r \in R$,

$$A_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) + B_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))A_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$$

Where:

$$A_n(a, b, c) = \phi_n(abc) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))$$

$$\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c))$$

$$B_n(a, b, c) = \phi_n(abc) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c))$$

$$\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

Note that if $A_n(a, b, c) = 0$, then ϕ is a T (σ, τ)-HH and if $B_n(a, b, c) = 0$, then ϕ is a T (σ, τ)-HAH.

Lemma 2.3 [9, Proposition 3.5]. Let $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Jordan triple higher (σ, τ)-homomorphism from prime ring R into prime ring R' , then θ is higher (σ, τ)-homomorphism.

Lemma 2.4 [9, Theorem 3.4]. Every JT (σ, τ)-HH of ring R into prime ring R' is either triple (σ, τ)-HH or triple (σ, τ)-HAH.

Lemma 2.5 [1]. Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. If $x, y \in R$ such that $xry + yrx = 0$, then $xry = yrx = 0$, for all $r \in R$.

Definition 2.6 [7]. A family of additive mappings $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R into R' is said to be a GJT (σ, τ)-HH if there exist a Jordan triple (σ, τ)-HH $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b \in R$, we have:

$$f_n(aba) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

and θ is called the relating Jordan triple (σ, τ)-HH.

Lemma 2.7. Let $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a GJT (σ, τ)-HH of R into 2-torsion free ring R' associated with JT (σ, τ)-HH $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b, c \in R$,

$$f_n(abc + cba) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) + f_i(\sigma^i(c))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

Proof. The same proof of Lemma 2.6 in [7]. ■

Now, we will introduce the definition of GT (σ, τ)-HH as follows.

Definition 2.8. A family of additive mappings $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of R into R' is said to be a GT (σ, τ)-HH if there exist a triple (σ, τ)-HH $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b \in R$, we have:

$$f_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

and θ is said to be the relating triple (σ, τ)-HH.

It is clear that every Generalized Higher Homomorphism is a Generalized Jordan Higher Homomorphism, but the converse need not be true in general. Following example shows

It is clear that every GT(σ, τ)-HH is a GJT(σ, τ)-HH, but the converse is not true in general. In [8], the authors presented an example of a ring that is JHH but not HH, we will extend it to GT (σ, τ)-HH as follows:

Example 2.9. Suppose that S is a ring with non-trivial involution $*$, $R = S \oplus S \oplus S$, $a \in S$ such that $a \in Z(S)$ and $s_i a s_j = 0$, for all $s_i, s_j \in R$, for all i and j . Let $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of mappings of R into itself defined by for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(s, t, s) \in R$:

$$f_n((s, t, s)) = \begin{cases} (-2-n)a\sigma^i(s), (n-1)\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(t^*), -(2-n)a\sigma^i(s) & \text{for } n = 1, 2, \\ 0 & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

In [9], there is a JT (σ, τ)-HH $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined by:

$$\phi_n(s, t, s) = \begin{cases} ((2-n)a\sigma^i(s), (n-1)\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(t^*), (2-n)a\sigma^i(s)), & \text{for } n = 1, 2 \\ 0 & n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, it is clear that F is a GJT (σ, τ)-HH but not a GT (σ, τ)-HH.

Remark 2.10. Let $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a GT (σ, τ) -HH from R into R' and $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a related T (σ, τ) -HH. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b \in R$, we will write

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(a, b, c) &= f_n(abc) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \\ &\quad \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\ \gamma_n(a, b, c) &= f_n(abc) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(c)) \\ &\quad \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \end{aligned}$$

For the purpose of this paper, we can list elementary properties about above

1. $\delta_n(a, b, c) + \delta_n(c, b, a) = 0$.
2. $\gamma_n(a, b, c) + \gamma_n(c, b, a) = 0$.

Note that if $\delta_n(a, b, c) = 0$, then F is a GT (σ, τ) -HH and if $\gamma_n(a, b, c) = 0$, then F is a GT (σ, τ) -HAH.

Lemma 2.11. If $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a GT (σ, τ) -HH from a ring R into a ring R' and $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a related JT (σ, τ) -HH, then for all $a, b \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- i. $\delta_n(a + b, c, d) = \delta_n(a, c, d) + \delta_n(b, c, d)$,

- ii. $\delta_n(a, b + c, d) = \delta_n(a, b, d) + \delta_n(a, c, d)$,
- iii. $\delta_n(a, b, c + d) = \delta_n(a, b, c) + \delta_n(a, b, d)$.

Proof. i.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(a + b, c, d) &= f_n((a + b)cd) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a + b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \phi_i(\tau^i(d)) \\ &= f_n(acd + bcd) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \phi_i(\tau^i(d)) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \phi_i(\tau^i(d)) \end{aligned}$$

Since f_n is an additive mapping for each n , then:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(a + b, c, d) &= f_n(acd) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \phi_i(\tau^i(d)) + f_n(bcd) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \phi_i(\tau^i(d)) \\ &= \delta_n(a, c, d) + \delta_n(b, c, d) \end{aligned}$$

By the same way we can prove ii and iii. ■

3. Main Results

Lemma 3.1. If $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a GJT (σ, τ) -HH of R into R' and $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a related JT (σ, τ) -HH, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b, c, r \in R$,

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a b, c)) \phi_n(\sigma^n(r)) B_n(\tau^n(a b, c)) + \gamma_n(\sigma^n(abc)) \phi_n(\sigma^n(r)) A_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$$

Proof. Assume that F is a GJT (σ, τ) -HH and take $a, b, c, r \in R$.

By induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n = 1$; define $w = abcrcba + cbarabc$, then we get the require result.

We can assume that

$$\delta_m(\sigma^m(a, b, c)) \phi_m(\sigma^m(r)) B_m(\tau^m(a, b, c)) + \gamma_m(\sigma^m(a, b, c)) \phi_m(\sigma^m(r)) A_m(\tau^m(a, b, c)) = 0$$

is true for all $a, b, c, r \in R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m < n$.

Now, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(w) &= f_n(a(bcrcb)a + c(barab)c) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(bcrcb)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(c)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(barab)) \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(crc)) \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \right) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(c)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(ara)) \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \right) \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \left(\sum_{k=1}^j \phi_k(\sigma^k \sigma^j \tau^{n-j} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \phi_k(\sigma^k \tau^{j-k} \sigma^j \tau^{n-j} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \phi_k(\tau^k \sigma^j \tau^{n-j} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \right) \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(c)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\sum_{k=1}^j \phi_k(\sigma^k \sigma^j \tau^{n-j} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \phi_k(\sigma^k \tau^{j-k} \sigma^j \tau^{n-j} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \right) \phi_k(\tau^k \sigma^j \tau^{n-j} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \right) \\ &\quad \left. \phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \right) \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(c)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^n(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(c)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i} \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^n(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_j(\tau^n(c)) \phi_i(\sigma^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i (\phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(c))) \phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \phi_j(\tau^j(a)) \right) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i f_i(\sigma^i(c)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_j(\tau^n(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^j \sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{j=1}^i (\phi_j(\tau^j \sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(a))) \phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \phi_j(\tau^j(c)) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, $f_n(w) = f_n((abc)r(cba) + (cba)r(abc))$. Thus by Lemma 2.7, we get:

$$f_n(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i(\tau^i(cba)) + f_i(\sigma^i(cba)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i(\tau^i(abc))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^i(a))) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{j-i} \tau^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \tau^i(c)) + \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^i(c)) \right) \\
 &\quad \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{j-i} \tau^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \tau^i(a)) - \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) \Big) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i (f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(a))) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(c)) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(a)) - f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \right) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^i(a)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^j(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \tau^i(c)) + \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \\
 &\quad \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^j(c)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^j(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \tau^i(a)) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(c)) \\
 &\quad \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \left(\phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^i(a)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^j(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \tau^i(c)) \right) - \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \left(\phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^i(c)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^j(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \tau^i(a)) \right) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) A_i (\tau^i(a, b, c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) B_i (\tau^i(abc)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

From equations (1) and (2), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) A_i (\tau^i(a, b, c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) B_i (\tau^i(abc)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(c)) \right) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \left(\phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) - \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(c)) \right) \\
 &\quad \phi_j (\tau^j(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j(a)) \Big) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(a)) \right) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) \left(\phi_i (\tau^i(abc)) - \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(a)) \phi_j (\tau^j(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j(c)) \right) \\
 0 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) A_i (\tau^i(a, b, c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) B_i (\tau^i(abc)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(c)) \right) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) B_i (\tau^i(a, b, c)) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(a)) \right) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) A_i (\tau^i(a, b, c)) \\
 0 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) - \sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(a)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(c)) \right) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) B_i (\tau^i(a, b, c)) - \\
 &\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f_i (\sigma^i(abc)) - \sum_{j=1}^i f_j (\sigma^j \sigma^i(c)) \phi_j (\sigma^j \tau^{i-j} \sigma^i(b)) \phi_j (\tau^j \sigma^i(a)) \right) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) A_i (\tau^i(a, b, c)) \\
 0 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i (\sigma^i(a, b, c)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) B_i (\tau^i(a, b, c)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i (\sigma^i(a, b, c)) \phi_i (\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(r)) A_i (\tau^i(a, b, c))
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have:

$$\gamma_n (\sigma^n(a, b, c)) \phi_n (\sigma^n(r)) A_n (\tau^n(a, b, c)) + \delta_n (\sigma^n(a, b, c)) \phi_n (\sigma^n(r)) B_n (\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0. \blacksquare$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a GJT (σ, τ) -HH of R into R' and $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a related JT (σ, τ) -HH, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b, c, r \in R$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n (\sigma^n(a, b, c)) \phi_n (\sigma^n(r)) B_n (\tau^n(a, b, c)) &= \\
 \gamma_n (\sigma^n(a, b, c)) \phi_n (\sigma^n(r)) A_n (\tau^n(a, b, c)) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 3.1, we get the result. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.3. Let $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a GJT (σ, τ) -HH of ring R into prime ring R' and $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a related JT

(σ, τ) -HH then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b, c, r, x, y, z \in R$

$$\delta_n (\sigma^n(a, b, c)) \phi_n (\sigma^n(r)) B_n (\tau^n(x, y, z)) = 0$$

Proof. Replace $a + x$ by a in Corollary 3.2, we get:

$$\delta_n (\sigma^n(a + x, b, c)) \phi_n (\sigma^n(r)) B_n (\tau^n(a + x, b, c)) = 0$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n (\sigma^n(a, b, c)) \phi_n (\sigma^n(r)) B_n (\tau^n(a, b, c)) &+ \\
 \delta_n (\sigma^n(a, b, c)) \phi_n (\sigma^n(r)) B_n (\tau^n(x, b, c)) &+
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_n(\sigma^n(x, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(x, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) \\ & + \delta_n(\sigma^n(x, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^n(r))\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) = \\ & -\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r)) \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(x, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) \end{aligned}$$

Since R' is prime, we obtain:

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replacing $b+y$ for b in equation (3), we get:

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b+y, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b+y, c)) = 0$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, y, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, y, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We can use equation (3), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, y, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^n(r))\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) = \\ & -\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r)) \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, y, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, b, c)) \end{aligned}$$

Since R' is prime, we obtain:

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) = 0 \quad (4)$$

Replacing $c+z$ for c in equation (4), we get:

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c+z))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c+z)) = 0$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, z))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, z))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We can use equation (4), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) + \\ & \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, z))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^n(r))\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r)) \\ & B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) \\ & = -\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) \\ & \phi_n(\sigma^n(r))\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, z))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r)) \\ & B_n(\tau^n(x, y, c)) \end{aligned}$$

Since R' is prime, we obtain:

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) = 0 \quad \blacksquare$$

Now, we will prove the principal theorem of this section which is an extension of result in [8].

Theorem 3.4. Let $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a GJT (σ, τ) -HH of a ring R into prime ring R' and $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a related JT (σ, τ) -HH, then F is GT (σ, τ) -HH or triple (σ, τ) -HAH.

Proof. Since F is a GJT (σ, τ) -HH. Then by Theorem 3.3, we have:

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) = 0$$

Since R' is prime, therefore either $\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) = 0$ or $B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) = 0$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a, b, c, x, y, z \in R$.

If $B_n(\tau^n(x, y, z)) = 0$, then by Lemma 2.2, we obtain F is triple (σ, τ) - higher anti-homomorphism.

But if $\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) = 0$, then by Remark 2.10, we obtain F is GT (σ, τ) -HH. \blacksquare

Theorem 3.5. Let $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a GJT (σ, τ) -HH of R into a 2-torsion-free prime ring R' and $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a related JT (σ, τ) -HH. Then F is GT (σ, τ) -HH or G (σ, τ) -HAH.

Proof. Since $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a GJT (σ, τ) -HH of R into R' there exist $\theta = (\phi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a related JT (σ, τ) -HH. Then by Lemma 2.4, we have ϕ is a Triple (σ, τ) -HH or Triple (σ, τ) -HAH. Therefore we obtain two cases

Case I: If $\theta = \phi$, where Φ is triple (σ, τ) -HH. Then for all $a, b, c \in R$, we have:

$$\phi_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c))$$

Since:

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(a, b, c) &= \phi_n(abc) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(a)) \\ & \phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c)) \end{aligned}$$

this means $A_n(a, b, c) = 0$. By Lemma 3.1, we get:

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c))\phi_n(\sigma^n(r))B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$$

Since R' is prime ring, then either $\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) = 0$ or $B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$.

If $B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$, then we get ϕ is triple (σ, τ) -HAH, and this will be a contradiction with assumption. Therefore $\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) = 0$, for all $a, b, c \in R$, that is:

$$f_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a))\phi_i(\sigma^i\tau^{n-i}(b))\phi_i(\tau^i(c))$$

We proceed by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n = 1$, let $W = f_1(abxab)$. Since ϕ is JT (σ, τ) -HH. Then by Lemma 1.3, we have ϕ is a (σ, τ) -HH. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= f_1(abxab) \\ &= f_1(\sigma(ab))\phi_1(\sigma(x))\phi_1(\tau(ab)) \\ &= f_1(\sigma(ab))\phi_1(\sigma(x))\phi_1(\tau(a))\phi_1(\tau(b)) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= f_1(a(bxa)b) \\ &= f_1(\sigma(a))\phi_1(\sigma(bxa))\phi_1(\tau(b)) \\ &= f_1(\sigma(a))\phi_1(\sigma(bx))\phi_1(\tau(a))\phi_1(\tau(b)) \\ &= f_1(\sigma(a))\phi_1(\sigma(b))\phi_1(\sigma(x))\phi_1(\tau(a))\phi_1(\tau(b)) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Comparing (5) and (6), we get the result.

We can assume that f_m is true for all $a, b, c, r \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m < n$. Let:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= f_n(abxab) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(ab)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(x)) \phi_i(\tau^i(ab)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(ab)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(x)) \\ &\quad \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \cdot \phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \\ &= f_n(\sigma^n(ab)) \phi_n(\sigma^n(x)) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \cdot + \\ &\quad \phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(\sigma^i(ab)) \\ &\quad \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(x)) \sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\tau^j(b)) \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= f_n(a(bxa)b) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(bxa)) \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(b)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(xa)) \right) \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \\ &\quad \phi_i(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(xa)) \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(x)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(a)) \right) \phi_i(\tau^i(b)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i f_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(x)) \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(b)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(b)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(x)) \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(b)) \right) \quad + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^i f_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i(b)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(x)) \\ &\quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(b)) \right) \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

By comparing (7) and (8), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(f_i(\sigma^i(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^i f_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(b)) \right) \\ &\quad \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(x)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(b)) \right) + \\ &\quad \left(f_n(\sigma^n(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(b)) \right) \\ &\quad \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(x)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(b)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of $m < n$, reduces the last equation to:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(f_n(\sigma^n(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(b)) \right) \\ &\quad \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(x)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(b)) \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that, for all $a, b, x \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\left(f_n(\sigma^n(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(b)) \right) R' = 0$$

Since R' is prime, then we get:

$$f_n(\sigma^n(ab)) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\sigma^j(a)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(b))$$

this means F is $G(\sigma, \tau)$ -HH.

Case II: If Φ is triple (σ, τ) -HAH, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(abc) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \\ &\quad \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \end{aligned}$$

By the same way of proof case I, we get:

$$\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) = 0 \text{ or } B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$$

If $B_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$, then we get ϕ is triple (σ, τ) -HAH, and this will be a contradiction with assumption. Therefore $\delta_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) = 0$, for all $a, b, c \in R$, that is:

$$f_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(a)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(c))$$

Since:

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(a, b, c) &= \phi_n(abc) - \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\sigma^i(c)) \\ &\quad \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a)) \end{aligned}$$

this means $B_n(a, b, c) = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, we get:

$$\gamma_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) \phi_n(\sigma^n(r)) A_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$$

Since R is prime ring, then either $\gamma_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) = 0$ or $A_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$. If $A_n(\tau^n(a, b, c)) = 0$, then we get ϕ is triple (σ, τ) -HH, and this will be a contradiction with assumption. Therefore $\gamma_n(\sigma^n(a, b, c)) = 0$, for all $a, b, c \in R$, that is:

$$f_n(abc) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\sigma^i(c)) \phi_i(\sigma^i \tau^{n-i}(b)) \phi_i(\tau^i(a))$$

As in the proof case I, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(f_n(\sigma^n(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\sigma^j(b)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \right) \\ &\quad \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{n-j}(x)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \phi_j(\sigma^j(b)) \phi_j(\sigma^j \tau^{i-j}(a)) \right) = \\ &\quad 0 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that, for all $a, b, x \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\left(f_n(\sigma^n(ab)) - \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\sigma^j(b)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)) \right) R' = 0$$

Since R' is prime, then we get:

$$f_n(\sigma^n(ab)) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\sigma^j(b)) \phi_j(\sigma^j(a)), \text{ this means } F \text{ is } G(\sigma, \tau)\text{-HAH. } \blacksquare$$

References

- [1] Bresar M.; "Jordan mappings of semiprime rings"; Journal of Algebra, 27, 218-228, 1989.
- [2] Herstein NI.; "Jordan homomorphisms"; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 81, 331-351, 1959.
- [3] Herstein NI.; "Topics in Ring Theory"; Chicago, Univ. Of Chicago Press., 1965.
- [4] Jacobson N.; Rickart C.; "Jordan homomorphisms of rings"; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 69, 479-502, 1950.
- [5] Majeed A.; Shaheen R.; "GJhomomorphism and generalized JTH onto prime rings", Iraqi Journal of science, 50:221-225, 2009.
- [6] Faraj AK.; "On Higher derivations and HJs of prime rings", Ph.D, thesis, Iraq, Baghdad, University of Technology, Iraq, 2006.
- [7] Salih MS.; Jarallah FR.; "GJ(σ, τ)-HJs of a ring R into ring R", Math. Theory and Modeling, 5, 57-68, 2015.
- [8] Faraj AK.; Majeed AH.; Haetinger C.; Nadeem U.; "On GJhigher homomorphisms", Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 3(1), 406-421, 2014.

- [9] Salih MS.; Sulaiman NN.; “Jordan triple higher (σ, τ) -homomorphisms on prime rings”, Iraqi journal of Science, Accepted for publication in 10(61), 2020.