

## On Almost and Star $\alpha$ -Hurewicz Spaces

Tamadher Waleed Said Ghani<sup>1</sup>, Jalal Hatem Hussein Bayati<sup>1</sup>, Ana María Zarco<sup>2, \*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Universidad Internacional de La Rioja, Logroño, Spain

### Article's Information

Received: 20.04.2024  
Accepted: 09.06.2024  
Published: 15.09.2024

### Keywords

Almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz  
Almost star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz  
 $\alpha$ -Compact space  
 $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space  
 $\alpha$ -Lindelof space  
 $\alpha$ -Open cover  
Star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz

### Abstract

The main purpose of this work is to create a type of topological spaces namely "almost star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz spaces" and study its properties, and besides, the concepts of  $\alpha$ -compact space,  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space and strongly star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space. Many properties of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz spaces and almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz are investigated. This allows us to provide new examples of explicit descriptions of spaces as well as some types of  $\alpha$ -covering for spaces such as  $\alpha$ -compact and  $\alpha$ -Lindelof space and using as a tool to prove important results in topological spaces. In addition, a certain connection of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space with the Hurewicz space and almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space was considered. There is a relationship between the version of the strongly star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property and star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property with star  $\alpha$ -compact property and almost star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz. Some of the examples that make a distinction between the properties are mentioned and reviewed, showing that the concepts are not equivalent. Results on the preservation of the properties of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz and almost- $\alpha$ -Hurewicz spaces are included such as behavior under subspaces, products,  $\alpha$ -irresolute function, and mappings with other forms of topological spaces.

<http://doi.org/10.22401/ANJS.27.3.15>

\*Corresponding author: [anamaria.zarco@unir.net](mailto:anamaria.zarco@unir.net)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### 1. Introduction

Weak and strong definitions of open sets have been applied by many authors [1-3]. They have given rise to new concepts of continuity:  $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{c}$ -continuous and  $\delta$ - $\beta\mathcal{c}$ -continuous [4], new types of totally continuous<sup>5</sup>, faintly  $\theta$ -semi-continuous, and faintly  $\delta$ -semi-continuous functions [6]. The generalization of open sets has played important role in many works in concepts like games theory, graph theory and soft topology [7-9]. Also, the concept of generalization of topological spaces used certain types of open sets [10]. Besides, covering properties have been studied in different forms of open sets [11]. In Topological spaces (for short T.s), for a subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of a space  $X$ , the notations  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\text{int}(\mathcal{A})$  stand for the closure and the interior of  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively. The meaning of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the topology on  $\mathcal{A}$  inherited from a space  $X$  with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ . The notion of  $\alpha$ -open sets was introduced by Njastad [12]; a subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of a T.s.  $X$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -open set, if  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mathcal{A})))$  and  $\alpha$ -closed if it is the complement of an  $\alpha$ -open set. Since the concept

of  $\alpha$ -open sets has played a role in several significant places in the study of T.s's, the relevance of the definition presented is evidenced by previous studies. A T.s.  $X$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -compact (respectively,  $\alpha$ -Lindelof) space, if for every  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ ,  $\{U_j : j \in J\}$ , a finite (respectively, a countable) subcover [13] can be found. A T.s.  $X$  is called a countably  $\alpha$ -compact space, if of each countable set of open  $\alpha$ -compact subsets that covers  $X$  it is possible to get a finite subcover [14]. A Menger and Hurewicz properties are one of the most important kinds of selection principles. A T.S  $X$  has the Menger (resp .Hurewicz) property, if for every sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open covers of  $X$  there exists a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that every  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and the family  $\cup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a cover of  $X$  (resp. each  $x \in X$  belongs to  $\cup \mathcal{V}_n = \cup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for all but finitely many  $n$ ). The concept of  $\alpha$ -open set will be used to define a new form of Hurewicz space. The study in this paper revolves around a new type of T.s, which generalizes the

Hurewicz property and as a study close to what was presented in previous studies about Hurewicz property. Moreover, " $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property" is discussed, where some of the main characteristics of this space were presented. A subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of a T.s X is said to be  $\beta$ -open set, if  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A})))$  and  $\beta$ -closed the complement of  $\beta$ -open set [14]. A subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of T.s X, is said to be a semi-open set (shortly s-open) [15], if  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\mathcal{A}))$ . A subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of a T.s X is called regular open set if  $\mathcal{A} = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mathcal{A}))$ , (respectively, regular closed if  $\mathcal{A} = \text{cl}(\text{int}(\mathcal{A}))$ ). Following a natural way, the intersection of all  $\alpha$ -closed sets of X containing  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be the  $\alpha$ -closure of  $\mathcal{A}$ , written as  $\text{cl}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$  [12]. The union of all  $\alpha$ -open sets of X contain in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -interior of  $\mathcal{A}$ , written as  $\text{int}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$  [12]. The definition of  $\alpha$ -closed subset is equivalent to  $\mathcal{A} = \text{cl}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ . The family of  $\alpha$ -open ( $\beta$ -open and s-open, respectively) subsets of X is denoted by  $\mathcal{T}^\alpha$  ( $\mathcal{T}^\beta$  and  $\mathcal{T}^s$  respectively). It is shown that each of  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{T}^\alpha$  is a topology on X [12]. The collection  $\mathcal{T}^\beta$  is not a topology for X because the intersection of  $\beta$ -open sets is not in general a  $\beta$ -open set. Take, for instance,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_\cup)$ , and the intervals  $(0, 1]$  and  $[1, 2]$ . In the same way of definition  $\text{cl}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\text{int}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ , the concept of  $\text{cl}_\beta(\mathcal{A})$  ( $\text{int}_\beta(\mathcal{A})$ ), and  $\text{cl}_s(\mathcal{A})$  ( $\text{int}_s(\mathcal{A})$ ) was defined, respectively. For any subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of X,  $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{int}_\beta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{cl}_\beta(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{cl}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\text{int}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{int}_s(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{cl}_s(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \text{cl}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{T}^s \subseteq \mathcal{T}^\beta$ . In addition, the properties of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz as an image or preimage of special types of continuous mappings are studied. Newly, the concept of  $\alpha$ -covering property have been examined with a variation, after applying the interior and the closure operators on a Hurewicz property [16]. Furthermore, different forms have been studied in case of the sequence of open covers are changed with generalized open sets [16]. In connection with this notion, the Menger property is very similar to the Hurewicz property although, analyzed in locales in [17], it is a stronger condition,

## 2. $\alpha$ -Hurewicz Spaces

This section deals with the statement of results about  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz spaces and besides, some examples of topological spaces are provided to show the relationships among Hurewicz,  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz,  $\beta$ -Hurewicz, s-Hurewicz spaces and another types of spaces such that  $\alpha$ -compact and  $\alpha$ -Lindelof spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** [16] Let X be a T.s and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property, if  $\forall$  sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\exists$  sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ . Also for every

$x \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfied that  $x \in \cup \mathcal{V}_n$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . A T.s X is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space when the set X is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz.

**Example 2.1.** Take  $X = \mathbb{Z}^+$  (positive integers) with  $\mathcal{T}_{dis}$  (discrete topology). So,  $\mathcal{T}_{dis} = \mathcal{T}^\alpha$  and hence  $(X, \mathcal{T}_{dis})$  is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

The following examples show the relation between a compact space (respectively, Lindelof Hurewicz,  $\alpha$ -compact,  $\alpha$ -Lindelof) and  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz with the following corresponding spaces in ( $\mathcal{T}^s$  and  $\mathcal{T}^\beta$  respectively). Some concepts are recalled in Definition 2.2.

**Definition 2.2.** A topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is said to be (i) *semi-Hurewicz* [13] (resp.  *$\beta$ -Hurewicz* [18]) if for every sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of semi open (resp.  $\beta$ -open) cover there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and for each  $x \in X$  for all but finitely many  $n$ , with  $x \in \cup \mathcal{V}_n$ . (ii)  $\alpha$ -Lindelof [18] if for all cover  $\{\mathcal{A}_j \mid j \in \mathbb{J}\}$  of X, being  $\mathcal{A}_j$  ( $j \in \mathbb{J}$ )  $\alpha$ -open sets, there is a countable sub cover.

Evidently, the following implications are hold:

$\beta$ -Hurewicz  $\Rightarrow$  s-Hurewicz  $\Rightarrow$   $\alpha$ -Hurewicz  $\Rightarrow$  Hurewicz

It is simple to show that every  $\alpha$ -compact space is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, but the converse does not necessarily hold, for instance, let  $X = \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{dis}$ . Then X is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, but it is not  $\alpha$ -compact, since  $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$  be  $\alpha$ -open cover of X has no a finite subcover.

Also, every  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space is Hurewicz space, but the converse is not true as the following example. Let A be a finite subset of an uncountable set X. Then  $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, A, X\}$  is a topology on X. The space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is Hurewicz but it is not an  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space because the sequence of an  $\alpha$ -open cover  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{A \cup \{x\} : x \in X \setminus A\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because it is not possible to find a countable subcover of the cover  $\mathcal{U}_n$ .

It is easily established that if X is a s-Hurewicz space, then X is an  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, however, the converse does not necessarily hold. Indeed, let  $X = \mathbb{R} \cup \wp$ , where  $\wp$  be a countable set and  $\mathbb{R} \cap \wp = \emptyset$  with a topology, which is defined by

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{U} \subseteq \wp : \mathcal{U}^c \text{ is a finite subset of } \wp\} \cup \mathcal{T}_\cup.$$

Here, X is a  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, but it is not s-Hurewicz space. Additionally, every  $\beta$ -Hurewicz space (respectively semi-Hurewicz) is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz

space but the converse is not true as it happens considering  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{ind}}$  (indiscrete topology). Here, the topological space is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz (s-Hurewicz respectively), but not is  $\beta$ -Hurewicz. Moreover, if  $X$  is s-Hurewicz (respectively  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz) then it is Hurewicz but, the converse is not satisfied in the next example. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , with a usual metric topology  $\mathcal{T}_u$ . Here,  $X$  is a Hurewicz space. In the proof is essential the fact of  $[-n, n]$  is compact. Nevertheless, it is not s-Hurewicz space, since  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{[r, r + \frac{m-1}{m}], r \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a sequence of cover of  $X$ ,  $([r, r + \frac{m-1}{m}])$ , is s-open and  $[r, r + 1]$  is not s-compact, and it is not possible to find a finite subfamily of each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $\mathbb{R}$  is covered by the union. As an example of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz ( $\alpha$ -Lindelof respectively) space take the set  $X = [0, \omega_1]$ , with the ordinal topology, while if the set  $X = [0, \omega_1)$  is taken, with the ordinal topology, is not  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz (is not  $\alpha$ -Lindelof) space. The family  $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha = [0, \alpha): \alpha \in [0, \omega_1)\}$  is an  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $[0, \omega_1)$  with no countable subcover.

Gaurav et al. proved in [16] that  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property is not hereditary property, and study  $\alpha$ -continuity of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz spaces. Thus, the below example and results can be established.

**Example 2.2.** Suppose that  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , define a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}$ ; for a topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $X$ , with  $\mathcal{U} = \{ \{r\} ; r \in X \setminus \{0\} \} \cup \{0 \in \mathcal{U} ; \mathcal{U}^c \text{ countable} \}$ . It is clear that  $X$  is a  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

Let us take  $Y = \{ \{r\} : r \in X \setminus \{0\} \}$  is a subspace of  $X$ . As for any sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $Y$  has no countable subcover, then  $Y$  is not  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space. The following proposition is proved with regular closed condition, and we do not need the clopen (i.e., closed and open) condition as in [16].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be the  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space and  $Y \subseteq X$ . If  $Y$  is a regular closed set of  $X$ , then  $Y$  has the  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property.

**Proof.** Consider  $Y$  a regular closed subspace of the  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space  $X$  and  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_n = \{\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}_n\} \cup \{X \setminus Y\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $Y$  is closed then  $X \setminus Y$  is open and so  $\alpha$ -open. Hence  $(\mathcal{G}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ . By the  $\alpha$ -Hurewiczness property of  $X$ , it is possible to obtain a sequence  $(\mathcal{W}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_n$ . Taking for each  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{W}_n\}$ , the sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and each  $x \in Y$  for all but finitely many

$n$ , with  $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{V}_n$ . That is  $Y$  has the  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property. The following theorem states that the  $\alpha$ -Hurewiczness is presented under  $\alpha$ -irresolute mapping.

**Definition 2.3.** [19] Let  $g: (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T}')$  be a function between to topological spaces, then  $g$  is  $\alpha$ -irresolute if the inverse image of  $\alpha$ -open is  $\alpha$ -open.

**Remark 2.1.** A subspace of a product of spaces does not need to be  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz and neither is the product space as the next example shows.

**Example 2.3.** Consider the Sorgenfrey line  $\mathbb{S}$ , i.e., the set  $\mathbb{R}$  endowed by the topology provided by the base  $\mathcal{B} = \{[x, y) : x < y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then for any oblique line with negative slope  $L = \{(r, s) \in \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} : s = ar + b, a < 0\}$  endowed by  $\mathcal{T}_L$ , the inherited topology of  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ .  $L$  is not  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz because of  $\mathcal{T}_L = \mathcal{T}_{\text{dis}}$  and neither does  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ .

Assume that  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$  is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz. The proof is based on the fact: every  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz is  $\alpha$ -Lindelof. Let us take  $L \subseteq \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ . It is uncountable, as its cardinal is the same as the cardinal of  $\mathbb{R}$ . From  $\alpha$ -closedness of  $L$  in  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ , implies that  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$  is not  $\alpha$ -Lindelof, which is contradicts  $\alpha$ -Hurewiczness of  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ . Consequently,  $L$  is  $\alpha$ -closed by  $(\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}) \setminus L$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ . Indeed, let  $L^+ = \{(r, s) : s - ar - b > 0\}$  and  $L^- = \{(r, s) : s - ar - b < 0\}$ . So,  $(\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}) \setminus L = L^+ \cup L^-$ . Let  $(r, s) \in L^+$ . So, every  $\alpha$ -open set contains  $(r, s)$  intersects more than one point with  $L$  (since we can write it as  $[r, r + \epsilon) \times [s, s + \epsilon)$ . But  $[r, \frac{-ar-b+s}{2}) \times [s, \frac{-ar-b+s}{2})$  does not intersect  $L$ . If  $(r, s) \in L^-$ , then the  $\alpha$ -nbhd  $[r, \frac{ar+s-b}{2a}) \times [s, \frac{ar+b+3s}{4})$  does not intersect  $L$ . The sets  $L$  and  $L^-$  are both  $\alpha$ -open in  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$ , hence,  $L$  is  $\alpha$ -closed. Now, for every  $(r, s) \in L$ , each  $\alpha$ -nbhd of  $(r, s)$  in  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S}$  (it can be written by  $[r, r + \epsilon) \times [s, s + \epsilon)$ , for  $\epsilon > 0$ ) intersects  $L$  in just one point,  $(r, s)$ . Therefore, the property is proven.

**Theorem 2.1.** The product of an  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space and an  $\alpha$ -compact space is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz.

**Proof:** Fix  $X$  an  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space and  $Y$  an  $\alpha$ -compact space. To show that  $X \times Y$  is  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, consider  $(\mathcal{W}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X \times Y$ . Hence, there exists  $\alpha$ -open covers  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively such that  $\mathcal{W}_n = \mathcal{U}_n \times \mathcal{V}_n$ . By  $\alpha$ -Hurewiczness of  $X$ , a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be taken with  $\mathcal{U}'_n$  are finite subsets of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $x \in X$  for all but finitely many  $n$ , with  $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{U}'_n$ . Also, from  $\alpha$ -compactness of

$Y$ , choose a finite subset  $\mathcal{V}'_n$  of  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which is  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $Y$ . Now, consider  $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{U}'_n \times \mathcal{V}'_n$ . Hence for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{W}_n$  and for each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  for all but finitely many  $n$ , with  $(x, y) \in \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ , which concludes the proof.

**Remark 2.2.** Recall that in a *T.s*  $X$  and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subset of  $X$ , then the star of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{A}$ , denoted by  $St(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ , is the set  $\{\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{A} : \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset\}$ ; for  $\mathcal{A} = \{x\}$  such that  $x \in X$ ,  $St(x, \mathfrak{A})$  is written instead of  $St(\{x\}, \mathfrak{A})$ .

**Definition 2.4.** The space  $X$  is called star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, if for any sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ , sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be obtained for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in St(\cup \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for all but finitely many  $n$ .  
As an example of star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, take  $X = \mathbb{Z}^+$  the set of positive integers with  $\mathcal{T}_{dis}$  (discrete topology). So,  $X$  is star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

**Definition 2.5.** The space  $X$  is called strongly star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, if for any sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ , a sequence  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of finite subsets of  $X$  can be obtained, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in X$ , implies that  $x \in St(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for all but finitely many  $n$ .  
As an example of strongly star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, take  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  (positive integers) with the topology  $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{U} \subseteq X : \mathcal{U} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : 0 \leq h \leq n; n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \cup \{\emptyset\}\}$ . Thus,  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a strongly star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

**Definition 2.6.** The space  $X$  is called star  $\alpha$ -compact space, if for each  $\alpha$ -open covering  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $X$ , a finite set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X$  can be obtained such that  $St(x_1, \mathfrak{A}) = X$ .  
As an example of star  $\alpha$ -compact space. Let  $X = \mathbb{Z}^+$  (positive integers) with  $\mathcal{T}_{dis}$ . So,  $X$  is star  $\alpha$ -compact space. The star  $\alpha$ -compactness is not hereditary property as in the case of the following space. Let  $X$  be an arbitrary infinite set,  $x_0 \in X$ . Define a topology on  $X$  as follows:  $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{U} \subseteq X : x_0 \notin \mathcal{U}\} \cup \{\mathcal{U} \subseteq X : X \setminus \mathcal{U} \text{ is finite set}\}$ . The subsets  $\{x\}$ ,  $x \in X \setminus \{x_0\}$  are  $\alpha$ -open. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $\alpha$ -open covering of  $X$ , there exists  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ , so  $\mathcal{U} = X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Then, it is enough to take  $\mathcal{A} = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Hence,  $X$  is star  $\alpha$ -compact space. However, the subspace  $Y = X \setminus \{x_0\}$  is not. Fix the  $\alpha$ -open cover  $\mathfrak{A} = \{\{x\} : x \in Y\}$  of  $Y$  which does not have a countable subcover, therefore  $Y$  cannot be star  $\alpha$ -compact space. There is a relation among the different shades of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz spaces as contained in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a *T.s*. The following statements are holds:

- i. Every  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space is star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.
- ii. Every strongly star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space is star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.
- iii. Every star  $\alpha$ -compact space is star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

**Proof.**

- i. Consider  $X$  an  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space and  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  any sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ . So, a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be obtained for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and for each  $x \in X$ , for all but finitely many  $n$ , with  $x \in \cup \mathcal{V}_n$ . That is,  $\cup \mathcal{V}_n \cap \mathcal{U}_n \neq \emptyset$  for all but finitely many  $n$ , and hence  $x \in St(\cup \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.
- ii. Let  $X$  be a strongly star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space and take  $\mathfrak{A}$  a cover of  $\alpha$ -open sets of  $X$ . For the constant sequence of open covers  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where for each  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{A}$  there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for  $n$ ,  $St(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$  (respectively,  $St(\cup \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ ). That is,  $St(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$  is a countable subset of  $X$  with  $St(\cup \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{U}) = X$ . Consequently,  $St(\cup \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$  is a countable subset of  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $St(\cup \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U})$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in St(\cup \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . Hence  $X$  is star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.
- iii. Suppose that  $X$  is star  $\alpha$ -compact space and consider  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ . From star  $\alpha$ -compactness of  $X$ , a finite set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X$  is found such that  $St(\mathcal{A}, \mathfrak{A}) = X$ . Therefore, there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in St(\cup \mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . Hence  $X$  star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

### 3. Almost and Star $\alpha$ -Hurewicz Spaces

In this section, the concept of almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property is introduced and also several examples are included to point the relationships among Hurewicz,  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz,  $\beta$ -Hurewicz,  $s$ -Hurewicz spaces and another types of spaces such that  $\alpha$ -compact and  $\alpha$ -Lindelof spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a *T.s* and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has the almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property, if for any sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{\mathcal{U}_{n_j}\}_{j \in J_n}$ , where  $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of index sets,  $I_n$  a finite set, and a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be obtained such that:



- i. for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $I_n \subseteq J_n$ ;  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{cl(\mathcal{U}_{n_j})\}_{j \in I_n}$ .
- ii. for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ; for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > n_0$  implies that there is  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n$  with,  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ .

$X$  is called an almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space when in the set  $X$  is satisfied the almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property. As almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space there are examples such that the following.

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{ind}$  (indiscrete topology), then components of  $\alpha$ -open covers whose singleton elements are transpositions are entirely determined by an almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property. Another characterization of almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space is given in the next result.

**Theorem 3.1.** For a space  $X$  the condition almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space is equivalent to that for each sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of covers of  $X$  by regular open sets, there exists a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that

- i. for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $I_n \subseteq J_n$ ;  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{cl(\mathcal{U}_{n_j})\}_{j \in I_n}$ .
- ii. for each  $x \in A$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ; for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > n_0$  implies that there is  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n$  with,  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ .

**Proof:** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) It is obvious, since every regular open set is open.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open set cover of  $X$ , such that  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{\mathcal{U}_{n_j}\}_{j \in J_n}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}'_n = \{int(cl(int(\mathcal{U}_{n_j})))\}_{j \in J_n}$ , then  $\mathcal{U}'_n$  is a regular open cover of  $X$ , by hypothesis a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , is obtained such that

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $I_n \subseteq J_n$ ;  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{cl(\mathcal{U}'_{n_j})\}_{j \in I_n}$ .  
for each  $x \in X$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > n_0$  implies that there is  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n$  with,  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ .  
Since  $\mathcal{U}_{n_j}$   $\alpha$ -open it is followed that  $cl(\mathcal{U}'_{n_j}) = cl(\mathcal{U}_{n_j})$ , and hence each  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{cl(\mathcal{U}_{n_j})\}_{j \in I_n}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, then  $X$  is an almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

**Proof:** Fix  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n = (\mathcal{U}_{n_j})_{j \in J_n}$ . From  $\alpha$ -Hurewiczness of  $X$ , a sequence  $(\mathcal{W}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is obtained such that:

- (i) Each  $\mathcal{W}_n \subseteq \mathcal{U}_n$ .
- (ii) For all  $x \in X$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n > n_0$ ,  $I_n \subseteq J_n$ .

By (i), it is possible to write  $\mathcal{W}_n = (\mathcal{U}_{n_j})_{j \in I_n}$ , where  $I_n \subseteq J_n$ . Let  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open sets defined by  $\mathcal{V}_n = (cl(\mathcal{U}_{n_j}))_{j \in I_n}$ . If  $x \in X$ , then by (ii), there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > n_0, \mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{W}_n$  with  $x \in \mathcal{W}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U}_{n_j}$  for some  $j \in I_n$ . can be said.

Let  $\mathcal{V} = (cl(\mathcal{U}_{n_j}))_{j \in I_n}$ . Then  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n$  and  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  since  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . Hence  $X$  is almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

**Remark 3.1.** The below example indicates that in general the converse of theorem 4 is false.

**Example 3.1.** Consider  $X$  the Euclidean plane endowed with a topology  $\mathcal{T}^{DR}$  generated by the base formed by the following sets:

$DR_r(x_0, y_0) = (D_r(x_0, y_0) \setminus \{(x, y) \in D_r(x_0, y_0) : x = x_0\}) \cup \{(x_0, y_0)\}$ , where  $D_r(x_0, y_0)$  is the disk centered in  $(x_0, y_0)$  and radius  $r > 0$ .

This topology is well known as deleted radius topology. As  $X$  is not an  $\alpha$ -Lindelof space, then  $X$  does not verify the  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property.

However,  $X$  is almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz. Indeed, every  $DR_r(x_0, y_0)$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set and  $cl(DR_r(x_0, y_0)) = cl(D_r(x_0, y_0))$ . Applying that  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the usual topology is  $\sigma$ -compact it is obtained the almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property.

It is concluded the same with  $X$  the Euclidean plane endowed with a topology  $\mathcal{T}^{BT}$  generated by the base formed by the following sets:

$BT_r(x_0, y_0) = \{(x, y) : |y - y_0| < |x - x_0| < r\} \cup \{(x_0, y_0)\}$ ,  $r > 0$ . This topology is well known as deleted bow tie topology. Here,  $cl(BT_r(x_0, y_0))$  is a compact set in the Euclidean plane with the usual topology, too.

Let  $X$  be a T.s. the following notions were introduced in:

- $X$  is  $\alpha$ -regular [18], if for any  $x \in X$  and a closed subset  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $x \notin B$  there are two disjoint open sets  $H_1, H_2 \subseteq X$  such that  $x \in H_1$  and  $cl(B \cap H_2) = B$ .
- $X$  almost  $\alpha$ -regular [20], if for any  $x \in X$  and a regularly closed subset  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $x \notin B$  there are two disjoint  $\alpha$ -open sets  $H_1, H_2 \subseteq X$  such that  $x \in H_1$  and  $cl(B \cap H_2) = B$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** If  $X$  is an almost  $\alpha$ -regular space and an almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, then  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

**Proof:** Consider  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ . From almost  $\alpha$ -regularness of  $X$ , there is for each  $n$  an  $\alpha$ -open cover  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{V}'_n = \{cl(\mathcal{V}) : \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ . By applying the hypothesis, a sequence  $(\mathcal{W}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  is found such that for each  $n$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{V}_n$  and  $\cup\{\mathcal{W}'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{W}'_n =$

$\{cl(\mathcal{W}) : \mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{W}_n\}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{W}_n$ , choose  $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{W}$ . Put  $\mathcal{U}'_n = \{cl(\mathcal{V}) : \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{W}_n\}$ . Now, it is shown that  $\cup\{\mathcal{U}'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$ . There is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $cl(\mathcal{W}) \in \mathcal{W}'_n$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{W}$ . So, there is  $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{U}'_n$  such that  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{W}$ . Then,  $x \in \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{W}$ .

Recall that a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be almost  $\alpha$ -continuous, if for each regular open set  $\subseteq Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set in  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $X$  is an almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space,  $Y$  is any T.s., and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an almost  $\alpha$ -continuous surjection, then  $Y$  is an almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

**Proof:** By Theorem 3.1 it is sufficient to do the proof for  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $Y$  by  $\alpha$ -regular open sets. Assume that  $\mathcal{U}'_n = \{f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) : \mathcal{U} \in (\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $(\mathcal{U}'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ , because of  $f$  is an almost  $\alpha$ -continuous surjection. From almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewiczness of  $X$ , a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  can be found such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}'_n$  and  $\cup\{\mathcal{V}'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\alpha$ -open cover of  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{V}'_n = \{cl(\mathcal{V}) : \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ , choose  $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $\mathcal{V} = f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V})$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_n = \{cl(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V}) : \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ . It is only necessary to prove that  $\cup\{\mathcal{W}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a cover for  $X$ . Now, if  $y = f(x) \in Y$ , then it is obtained  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{V}' \in \mathcal{V}'_n$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{V}'$ . Since  $\mathcal{V} = f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V})$ ,  $f^{-1}(cl(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V}))$  is  $\alpha$ -closed,  $f(x) \in f(cl(f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V}))) \subseteq cl(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V})$ . Hence,  $y = f(x) \in \mathcal{W}_n$ .

**Definition 3.2.** The space  $X$  is called almost star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, if for any sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ , a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  can be obtained for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in cl(St(\mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}_n))$  for all but finitely many  $n$ .

As an example of almost star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space, take  $X = \mathbb{Z}^+$ , with  $\mathcal{T}_{dis}$ . Then  $X$  is almost star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space.

**Theorem 3.5.** For  $X$  a T.s, the condition almost star  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz space is equivalent to that for each sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$  by  $\alpha$ -regular open sets there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  and  $\{cl(St(\mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a cover of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Suppose the condition is fulfilled, then it is clear every  $\alpha$ -regular open set is  $\alpha$ -open. Conversely, take  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of  $\alpha$ -open covers of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}'_n = \{int(cl(\mathcal{U})) : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ . So, each  $\mathcal{U}'_n$  covers  $X$  by  $\alpha$ -regular open sets. Certainly, as since  $\mathcal{U}$  is an  $\alpha$ -open set then each  $int(cl(\mathcal{U}))$  is a regular  $\alpha$ -open set and

$\mathcal{U} \subseteq int(cl(\mathcal{U}))$ . So, it is possible to find a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}'_n$  and  $\{cl(St(\mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{U}'_n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $X$ . Therefore, it is enough to show.

$St(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}_n) = St(int(cl(\mathcal{U})), \mathcal{U}_n)$  for each  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . Now, since  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq int(cl(\mathcal{U}))$ , it is obvious that  $St(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}_n) \subseteq St(int(cl(\mathcal{U})), \mathcal{U}_n)$ . Suppose that  $x \in St(int(cl(\mathcal{U})), \mathcal{U}_n)$ . Then there exists  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \cap int(cl(\mathcal{U})) \neq \emptyset$ . So, it is obtained  $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$  which implies  $x \in St(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}_n)$ . For every  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ , choose  $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $\mathcal{V} = int(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V})$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_n = \{\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ . Now, it is proved that  $cl\{cup St(\mathcal{W}_n, \mathcal{U}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a cover of  $X$ . For that, consider  $x \in X$ . Then it is possible to find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in cl\{cup St(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}'_n)\}$ . For every neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $x$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \cap St(\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U}'_n) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $(\mathcal{V} \cap int(cl(\mathcal{U})) \neq \emptyset) \wedge (\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V} \cap int(cl(\mathcal{U})) \neq \emptyset) \neq \emptyset$ , implies that  $(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset) \wedge (\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset)$  then  $\mathcal{W}_n \cap \mathcal{U}_n \neq \emptyset$ , so  $x \in cl\{cup St(\mathcal{W}_n, \mathcal{U}_n)$ .

#### 4. Conclusions

Several topics related to the concepts of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz spaces have been treated. Even though  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz condition is stronger than Hurewicz condition, in most results quite similar techniques for their proofs work with some adaptations, and thus,  $\alpha$ -covering properties of  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz have been analyzed. The examples provided show that the property  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property is different from the Hurewicz property and also from the almost  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz property (for example see theorems 2.1 and 3.3) As a prospective, these problems for the  $\alpha$ -Menger properties, (considering Menger and almost Menger properties) could be studied, so far, as the authors know, they are still open.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the reviewers for providing the useful suggestions that improve the presentation of this paper.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- [1] Aziz, H.; Jalal, H.; “ $\lambda$ -Semi-open sets in generalized topological spaces”. AIP Conf. Proc. 2022, 2398: 060078, 2022.
- [2] Osama, P.; Nehmat, K.; “Nano SC-Open Set in Nano Topological Space”. Ibn al-Haitham j. pure appl. Sci, 36(2): 306-313, 2023.
- [3] Mustafa, M.O.; Esmaeel, R.B.; Some Properties in Grill-“Topological Open and Closed Set”. J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 2021, 1897: 012038, 2021.

- [4] Abdulwahid, S.H.; Al-Jumaili, A.M.F.; "On Ec-Continuous and  $\delta$ - $\beta$ c-Continuous Mappings in Topological Spaces Via Ec-open and  $\delta$ - $\beta$ c-open sets". *Iraqi J. Sci*, 63(7):3120-34, 2022.
- [5] Jasem, S.H.; Tawfeeq, B.J.; "New Types of Totally Continuous Mappings in Topological Space". *Iraqi J. Sci*,:134-9, 2020 Available from:
- [6] Shaimaa, H.; Jalal, H.; Zarco, A.M.; "On Faintly  $\theta$ -Semi-Continuous and Faintly  $\delta$ -Semi-Continuous Function". *Baghdad Sci. J*, 2023.
- [7] Afraa, R.; Karim, M.A.; "Some games via semi-generalized regular spaces". *J. Interdiscip. Math*, 26(5): 863–872, 2022.
- [8] Samah, S.; Yousif, Y.; "Generalized Rough Digraph and Related Topologies". *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 2020, 1591 (012074):1-13, 2020.
- [9] Sabeha, I.; Haider, J.; "Soft Np-Open Sets in Soft Topological Spaces". *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 2021, 1879: 032043, 2021.
- [10] Alaa, M.; Laheeb, M.; Haider, J.; "On Kac-space". *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math*, (47): 984-990, 2022
- [11] Tyagi, B.K.; Singh, S.; Bhardwaj, M.; "Covering properties defined by preopen sets". *Asian-Eur. J. Math*, 14(3): 2150035, 2021.
- [12] Njastad, O.; "On some classes of nearly open sets". *Pacific J. Mathematics*, 15(3): 961-970, 1965.
- [13] Kocinac, L.D.; Sabah, A.; Khan, M.; Seba, D.; "Semi-Hurewicz spaces. Hacettepe". *J. Math. Stat*, 46(1): 53-66, 2017.
- [14] Abd El-Monsef; El-Deeb, M.; Mahmoud, R.; " $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mapping". *Bulletin of the Faculty of Science, Assiut University*, 12: 77-90, 1983.
- [15] Levine, N.; "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces". *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 70(1): 36-41, 1963.
- [16] Gaurav, K.; Sumit, M.; Brij, K.; "On  $\theta$ -Hurewicz and  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz topological spaces". *arXiv:2307.00487v1*. 2023.
- [17] Bayih, T.; Dube, T.; Ighedo, O.; "On the Menger and almost Menger properties in locales". *Appl. Gen. Topol*, 22(1): 199–221, 2021
- [18] Memet, K.; " $\beta$ -Menger and  $\beta$ -Hurewicz spaces. Hacet". *J. Math. Stat*, 51(1): 1-7, 2022
- [19] Maheshwari, S.N.; Thakur, S.S.; "On  $\alpha$ -irresolute mappings". *Tamkang J. Math.* 1980, 11: 209–214, 1980.
- [20] Alzahrani, S.; "Almost  $\alpha$ -regular spaces". *J. King Saud Univ. Sci*, 34(1): 101713, 2022.